

ORIGINAL

COPY
ORIGINAL

WILKINSON) BARKER; KNAUER) LLP

2300 N STREET, NW

SUITE 700

WASHINGTON, DC 20037

TEL 202 783.4141

FAX 202.783 5851

www.wbklaw.com

PAUL J SINDERBRAND

psinderbrand@wbklaw.com

RECEIVED

December 23, 2002

UEC 23 2002

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Flexibility for Delivery of Communications by Mobile Satellite Service Providers in the 2 GHz Band, the L-Band, and the 1.6/2.4 GHz Band – IB Docket No. 01-185*

Amendment of Part 2 of the Commission's Rules to Allocate Spectrum Below 3 GHz for Mobile and Fixed Services to Support the Introduction of New Advanced Wireless Services, including Third Generation Wireless Systems -- ET Docket No. 00-258 -- NOTICE OF EX PARTE PRESENTATION

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On December 19, 2002, Karen Possner on behalf of BellSouth Corporation, Jay C. Keithley, Sheldon Fisher and Harry Perlow on behalf of Sprint Corporation, and the undersigned on behalf of the Wireless Communications Association International, Inc. ("WCA") met with Barry J. Ohlson, interim Legal Advisor to Commissioner Adelstein, to discuss proposals being considered for relocating the Multipoint Distribution Service ("MDS") from the 2150-2162 MHz band.

The MDS interests expressed grave concern that certain of the proposals under consideration by the Commission would deprive numerous MDS Channel 2 licensees of two megahertz of spectrum – spectrum that in most cases was purchased either at a Commission auction or through secondary market transactions. It was explained that such a reduction in the spectrum allocated to MDS would not only be fundamentally unfair to MDS Channel 2 licensees, but would be unlawful.

The MDS interests also discussed in detail the technical reasons why a guardband on the order of 14-15 MHz is required between frequencies used for base-to-mobile ("downstream") and those used for mobile-to-base ("upstream") cellular communications in order to avoid harmful interference between systems. They cited to work within Working Party 8F of

Ms. Marlene Dortch

December 23, 2002

Page 2

International Telecommunication Union Radiocommunication Study Group 8 as supporting their position on the size of the guardband required, and noted that proposals being considered by the Commission were fundamentally flawed because they fail to address the harmful interference that will be caused under the following scenarios:

- If Mobile Satellite Service (“MSS”) licensees are permitted to operate terrestrial systems upstream at 1990-2025 MHz and depending on the specific frequencies that MSS retains after any reallocation, certain of those MSS terrestrial upstream operations will be within 14-15 MHz of operations in the 1930-1990 MHz band historically used *for* downstream Personal Communications Service (“PCS”) operations;
- If a new Advanced Wireless Service (“AWS”) unpaired band is established above 2155 MHz in the spectrum reallocated from MDS and/or spectrum reallocated from MSS, it would likely be used by many licensees for Time Division Duplex (“TDD”) systems (*i.e.* they would use the same spectrum for upstream and downstream communications). Such spectrum could be within 14-15 MHz of both: (i) the spectrum at 2110-2155 MHz that the Commission has allocated for auction on a paired basis with the 1710-1755 MHz band for **AWS**; and (ii) depending on the specific spectrum allocated for MSS, perhaps spectrum in the 2165-2200 MHz band that MSS licensees would use for downstream terrestrial communications;
- If MDS is relocated to 12 MHz of contiguous spectrum in the 2165-2200 MHz band (an approach the MDS interests oppose), many MDS licensees would opt to operate TDD systems that, depending on the specific spectrum allocated for MDS, would be within 14-15 MHz of: (i) the spectrum at 2110-2155 MHz that will likely be used by AWS licensees for downstream communications; and (ii) the remaining spectrum at 2165-2200 MHz that MSS licensees will use for downstream terrestrial communications;
- If MDS is relocated to 12 MHz of contiguous spectrum in the 2165-2200 MHz band, some MDS licensees would likely **opt** to continue to operate upstream facilities in the band. Depending on the specific spectrum assigned MDS, such usage would be within 14-15 MHz of the **AWS** downstream usage at 2110-2155 MHz, the TDD AWS systems at 2155-2165 MHz and/or the **MSS** downstream usage in the remainder of the 2165-2200 MHz band; and
- If MDS is relocated to 12 MHz of contiguous spectrum adjacent to a new unpaired AWS band, TDD operations in that AWS band could be operating upstream at times when the adjacent MDS TDD system would be operating downstream.

Ms. Marlene Dortch
December 23, 2002,
Page 3

In light of these concerns, the MDS interests urged exploration of alternative approaches that minimize the number of guardbands that **will** be required to separate upstream and downstream transmissions.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules, an original and three copies of this letter are being filed with your office for placement in the two referenced dockets. Please direct any questions regarding this submission to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul J. Sinderbrand", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Paul J. Sinderbrand

cc: Barry J. Ohlson